## In-situ estimate of submesoscale horizontal eddy diffusion coefficients across a front
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(from http://oceanservice.noaa.gov/)

(from Dickey et al., J. Mar. Syst,. 2003)
$\Rightarrow$ Fronts, jets and eddies: Horizontal scales $\sim(100 \mathrm{~m}-10 \mathrm{~km})$ Time scales ~(days - week)

- Key role for: Energy transfer

Horizontal and vertical transport Biogeochemical cycles
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Limited estimates of key physical parameters
Focus of this study
New approach to estimate horizontal eddy diffusion coefficients (Kh) from in-situ observations
$\rightarrow$ Latex10 campaign (September 1-24, 2010)


- Western part of Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean)
- Main goals : (Sub)mesoscale dynamics Cross-shelf exchanges
- Adaptive sampling strategy based on :
- Satellite data
- Ship-based current measurements
- Iterative Lagrangian drifter releases


Example:
$\rightarrow$ Drifter array deployment "Lyap01" (Sep. 15, 2010)

(from Nencioli et al., GRL, 2011)

- Identified in-situ Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCSs)
- Evidenced inaccuracy of altimetry in coastal region
- LCS associated with a frontal structure
$\rightarrow$ AVHRR SST + 3-day drifter trajectories (Sep. 8 to 15, 2010)
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Convergence of warmer (eastern outer shelf) and colder (western inner shelf) water masses
$\rightarrow$ Colder and warmer water masses converging along attractive LCS
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In-situ approach
$\Rightarrow$ Colder and warmer water masses converging along attractive LCS


- Shape of T and S profile across the front results from balance between convergence and horizontal mixing
$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+u(x) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}=K_{H} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}$
- Analytical solution to 1 D advection diffusion equation for a tracer $T$
$\Rightarrow$ Analogous to Flament et al. 1985, Ledwell et al. 1998 (from satellite)

3. Equation

1D equation for a tracer $T$
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## Assumptions:

- Front is at equilibrium (steady state)
- $x$ is the across LCS direction
$\gamma$ : Strain rate (Lyapunov exponent)
with $\mu$ : Position of LCS axis


1D equation for a tracer $T$


## Assumptions:

- Front is at equilibrium (steady state)
- $x$ is the across LCS direction
$\gamma$ : Strain rate (Lyapunov exponent) $\mu$ : Position of LCS axis


## Boundary Conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(x=-\infty)=T_{1} ; \\
T(x=+\infty)=T_{2} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
T(x)=\frac{T_{2}+T_{1}}{2}+\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{K_{H}}}(x-\mu)\right)
$$
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$$
T(x)=\frac{T_{2}+T_{1}}{2}+\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{K_{H}}}(x-\mu)\right)
$$



$$
\operatorname{erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

3. Equation

Analytical solution
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$W_{\text {foot }}=2 \sqrt{\frac{K_{H}}{\gamma}}$
$68 \%$ of T variation

- Coefficients computed by best fitting T and S sections
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$W_{\text {foot }}=2 \sqrt{\frac{K_{H}}{\gamma}}$
$68 \%$ of T variation

- Coefficients computed by best fitting T and S sections

$$
K_{H}=\frac{\gamma}{\left(2 \mathrm{C} 3^{2}\right)} \quad \text { from drifter } \begin{gathered}
\text { fispersion!! } \\
\text { dis. }
\end{gathered}
$$



## SST and SSS from ship
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## SST and SSS from ship thermosalinograph

- Identified 30 cross-front transects
- Transects projected on the direction normal to the LCS axis



## Example: Transect 11




## Example: Transect 11

Front equation

$$
T(x)=C_{1}+C_{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(C_{3}\left(x-C_{4}\right)\right)
$$



Initial fit

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}=\frac{T_{2}+T_{1}}{2} \\
C_{2}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2} \\
C_{3}=1 \\
C_{4}=\frac{D i s t}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example: Transect 11

Front equation

$$
T(x)=C_{1}+C_{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(C_{3}\left(x-C_{4}\right)\right)
$$

Final fit: 19.972-0.450*erf(1.375*(x-2.474))


Final fit: 38.050-0.125*erf(1.261*(x-2.406))


## Example: Transect 11

$\rightarrow$ Parameters from least square estimation using Nelder-Mead simplex direct search

Front equation

$$
T(x)=C_{1}+C_{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(C_{3}\left(x-C_{4}\right)\right)
$$

Final fit: 19.972-0.450*erf(1.375)(x-2.474))


Final fit: 38.050-0.125*erf(1.261)(x-2.406))


$$
C_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{K_{H}}}
$$

- No fit for 11 out of 30 transects: limits of starting assumptions
i.e. impact of vertical dynamics

Dispersion patterns of drifter arrays
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- For each deployment, computed fastest separation rate between buoy couples (analogous to Lyapunov exponent)
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## Dispersion patterns of drifter arrays

- For each deployment, computed fastest separation rate between buoy couples (analogous to Lyapunov exponent)


T Front


S Front


Strain rate Lyap1 : $0.239^{*} \exp \left(1.268^{*} t\right)$
Lyap2 : $6.337^{*} \exp \left(0.696^{*} t\right)$


## T Front



S Front


Strain rate


Eddy diffusivity coefficients


## T Front



S Front


Strain rate


$$
K_{H}=\frac{\gamma}{\left(2 \mathrm{C} 3^{2}\right)}
$$

Eddy diffusivity coefficients

$\rightarrow$ New approach relatively simple and cheap (i.e. compared to passive tracer release experiments)
$\rightarrow$ In-situ estimates of Kh at the submesoscale in line with values used in high-resolution numerical models
$\rightarrow$ Tail of high values affects Kh statistics (mean and standard deviation) $=>$ check starting assumptions:

- Steady state
- Uniform strain rate
- Vertical motions
- ...
$\Rightarrow$ Further dedicated in-situ experiments
$\rightarrow$ Test approach from high-resolution models
$\rightarrow$ Extend analysis of Kh over wider regions/the global ocean using remote sensed datasets
$\rightarrow$ Further dedicated in-situ experiments
$\rightarrow$ Test approach from high-resolution models
$\rightarrow$ Extend analysis of Kh over wider regions/the global ocean using remote sensed datasets



## Surface Water and Ocean Topography

NASA - CNES mission

- New generation, high-resolution (1Km) altimeter
- Launch: Fall 2020


This work has been developed within the project:


Lyapunov Analysis in the CoaSTal Environment (LACOSTE)

Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship Call: FP7 - PEOPLE - 2011 - IEF Leading PI: F. Nencioli

F. Nencioli, F. d'Ovidio, A. Doglioli, A. Petrenko

Surface coastal circulation patterns by in-situ detection of Lagrangian Coherent Structures.
Geophysical Research Letters, 38, L17604, doi:10.1029/2011GL048815

LATEX website: www.com.univ-mrs.fr/LOPB/LATEX

## EXTRA SLIDES

First order upwind scheme


First order upwind scheme


- Fast adjustment to equilibrium (within 1 day)
- However Kh proportional to square of width
- Even small errors in width could affect estimate of Kh

